















Appendix 11: Core outputs and process checklist

The following tables set out how the Council has met the requirements of the SHLAA process.

A11 Table 1: Core outputs

1	A list of sites, cross-referenced to maps showing locations and boundaries of specific sites (and showing broad locations, where necessary)	All sites within the SHLAA are cross referenced to maps showing specific boundaries with site areas. Plans clearly show the sites within their wider context.		
2	Assessment of the deliverability/developability of each identified site (i.e. in terms of its suitability, availability and achievability) to determine when an identified site is realistically expected to be developed	The deliverability and developability of each site has been assessed in terms of its suitability, availability and achievability. The assessment clearly indicates when sites are expected to come forward for development as a result of this exercise.		
3	Potential quantity of housing that could be delivered on each identified site or within each identified broad location (where necessary) or on windfall sites (where justified)	The Council has estimated the potential of each site, as described in Chapter 8 of this report.		
4	Constraints on the delivery of identified sites	Each site was assessed against a list of constraints that may affect the deliverability/ developability of a site.		
5	Recommendations on how these constraints could be overcome and when	Where significant constraints were identified the Council has considered what actions may be required to overcome those constraints.		

A11 Table 2: Process checklist

1	The survey and Assessment should involve key stakeholders including house builders, social landlords, local property agents and local communities. Other relevant agencies may include the Housing Corporation and English Partnerships (a requirement in areas where they are particularly active)	The Council has fully engaged with appropriate stakeholders at various stages of undertaking the SHLAA as outlined in the report. It is anticipated that stakeholders will continue to be involved in the SHLAA as it evolves over the coming years.
2	The methods, assumptions, judgements and findings should be discussed and agreed upon throughout the process in an open and transparent way, and explained in the Assessment report. The report should include an explanation as to why particular sites or areas have	As outlined in the report, the Council engaged with key stakeholders when developing the SHLAA methodology. This report sets out the key stages of the methodology and presents the findings of the Assessment.

APPENDIX 11: Core Outputs and Process Checklist

been excluded from the Assessment	Appendix C lists the sites that have not been
	Appendix 6 lists the sites that have not been considered to be deliverable or developable
	and the reasons why they have been excluded
	at this stage.

Site Survey assessment sheet

☐ Work Started	Date:	
Site Area: Development Status: Unimplemented Work Started	Date.	
Development Status: Unimplemented Work Started		
☐ Unimplemented ☐ Work Started		
☐ Unimplemented ☐ Work Started ☐ Appears complete		
☐ Work Started ☐ Appears complete		
□ Appears complete		
		1
Contact information for landow	vner/agent if visible	on site:
Existing or last land use(s):		
☐ Residential ☐ Retail ☐ C	Commercial De	en space 🔲 Community facility 🔲 Industrial
☐ Hotel ☐ Specialist accomm	nodation IT Gynsy	and Traveller site Sul Generis Leisure
ESPACIAL AND CANADAS CONTRACTOR		The same of the same
Non-residential Institution	Agriculture Ot	her
Vacant or derelict land: Yes	□ No □ In part	
Land status: Previously Deve	eloped Land Gre	eenfield Land Mix
Age of existing buildings on si □ Pre-Victorian □ Victorian/E □ Modern	dwardian	lian Inter-war Immediate post-war
Existing building heights: (if ap		toray D Six to tan storay D 11+ storay
☐ Single storey ☐ Two Store		torey Six to ten storey 11+ storey
☐ Single storey ☐ Two Store		torey Six to ten storey 11+ storey
☐ Single storey ☐ Two Storey Neighbouring land uses:	y Three to five s	en space Community facility Industrial
☐ Single storey ☐ Two Store: Neighbouring land uses: ☐ Residential ☐ Retail ☐ C	y Three to five s	
Single storey Two Stores Neighbouring land uses: Residential Retail C	Three to five s	en space Community facility Industrial and Traveller site Sui Generis Leisure

Site constraints and physical limitations:

	On-site	Adjacent		On-site	Adjacent
Existing road(s)			Heathland		
22 CO. 1 CO. 1			Escarpment and rising		
Existing railway line			ground of landscape importance		
Existing cycle route(s)			Green comdor		
Existing car parking		0	SANG		
Education provision			Public Rights of Way		
Healthcare provision			Low or wet ground		
Supported accommodation			Flood protection/flood defence		
Community facilities			River or Canal		
Indoorsports			Railway embankment		
Outdoorsports			Noise pollution evident		
Children and/orteenage	п		Details:	10000	-
recreation provision					
Waste disposal or recycling			Visible contamination sources		
Library			Open and running water		
Cemetery			Watersupply	Ö	0
Place of worship			Waste water		
Allotments			Telecoms		
Green space within		0.55	High voltage power	177	
brownfield sites			cables/pylons		
Any natural or semi-natural green space			Ambulance Services		
Trees of value			Police Services		
Woodland			Fire and Rescue Services		
Scrub or grassland			Gas services		
Road verges			Electricity services		
Amenity space			CHP		
Site topography: Any issues evident relating	g to the su	itability of the	e existing site access(es)?	Yes No)
Potential for mixed use de	velopment	l? ☐ Yes (det	ails below) 🔲 No		
Any other comments regards issues?	rding the s	ite's suitabili	ty for future development and	I how to ove	ercome any
Any other comments:					

Remember to take site photos

642