Tel:

Email:

Planning Policy Team Woking Borough Council

Civic Offices Gloucester Square Woking SurreyGU21 6YL

Emailed to: Planningpolicy@woking.gov.uk

S

Environment &
Infrastructure Directorate
Spatial Planning Team
Surrey County Council
County Hall
Kingston upon Thames
KT1 2QU

30 August 2013

Dear Sir or Madam

Woking Borough Council – Consultation on the CIL Draft Charging Schedule and Community Infrastructure Levy Topic Paper

Thank you for consulting Surrey County Council on the CIL Draft Charging Schedule and Community Infrastructure Levy Topic Paper.

Our comments on each of these documents are included in the two annexes attached to this letter.

We hope you find these comments useful and we look forward to the future discussion scheduled to take place between our officers to refine the Woking CIL 123 list referred to in annexe 2 attached.

Yours sincerely

Katharine Harrison Principal Spatial Planning Officer Spatial Planning Team

# Surrey County Council Amendments Community Infrastructure Levy – Topic Paper Infrastructure Funding Gap

Surrrey County Council's amendments to this document are set out below. Additional text is in **bold** and underlined.

- 6.8 Section 7 and 8 of the IDP also provides further information regarding future education provision in Woking but there have been further developments since the publication of this document.
- 6.9 This will mean the overall basic needs funding could will be much greater than that set out in table 3.
- 6.12 Table 3 Please change education section as set out below:

Education	19 primary school classrooms <u>and</u> <u>ancillary spaces</u>	£48,900,000	£17,000,000	£31,900,000
	13 secondary school classrooms <u>and</u> <u>ancillary spaces</u>	£16,088,227.	<u>£0</u>	£16,088,227
	Early year provision			

### **CIL Draft Charging Schedule May 2013**

Surrey County Council's amendments to the CIL Draft Charging Schedule May 2013 document are set out below. Additional text is in **bold** and underlined.

#### Paragraph 3.5

Education: Based on Projected.....(SCC) have identified a need for 19 primary school classrooms and 13 secondary schools classrooms <u>and ancillary spaces</u> over the next ten years, as well as Early Years places.

**Table 1 (page 10) -** Please change education section as set out below:

Education	19 primary school	£48,900,000	£17,000,000	£31,900,000
	classrooms and			
	ancillary spaces	£16,088,227.	<u>£0</u>	£16,088,227
	13 secondary			
	school classrooms			
	and ancillary			
	spaces			
	Early year			
	provision			

# Appendix C

# Table 7 – Regulation 123 List

The text above the table implies that the schemes listed will not be funded by 106 agreements, but in terms of the schemes that are listed in the table, we are not sure whether this actually the case. An officer meeting has been scheduled for Monday 2 September to discuss this list further, and the issue of whether site specific mitigation measures should be included in the CIL 123 List. We anticipate that these concerns will be clarified and addressed during discussions at that meeting.

**Table 7 – Regulation 123 List Page 23** The Victoria Arch scheme date should be changed to 2015 rather than the current date of 2017 to be consistent with the mini bid put to the Local Transport Body

#### Table 7 – Regulation 123 List Page 24

The A245 Old Woking Road outside Marist School crossing facility can be removed from the list as this is currently being delivered at a cost of £100k. Therefore the estimated cost be revised to £1m.

#### Table 7 - Education

Change Figures to the following:

Column 4 Estimated Cost: £16,088,227.

Column 5 Money Secured: £0

Column 6 Funding Gap: £16,088,227

# Explanatory note as to why the education figures have changed

The original calculation Surrey County Council put forward for the draft charging schedule provided an estimate of the total cost of additional education infrastructure required over the 10 years and the estimated funding it could derive from basic need funding grant from

Government. This covers the costs of basic need arising from birth rate increases, inward migration and other factors including additional housing in the Borough. This demonstrated a total funding gap in excess of £30m. Whilst this is useful context, the figure has been changed in the table in both the CIL Topic Paper and the Draft Charging Schedule to only estimate the additional costs of school infrastructure required to mitigate the impact of additional housing (£16m). This approach is being applied to charging schedules for other Borough's or Districts in Surrey. The funding available from other sources has been zero rated because the Local Authority takes the view that the Government expects Local Authorities to seek developer contributions to meet basic need given that the Basic Need Grant falls well short of the actual costs that the Local Authority is expecting to incur to meet its statutory obligations to provide sufficient school places.