

Agenda

1. 10.00am Welcome and Introductions

2. Focus for Discussion: **Economic Development, Transport and Infrastructure**

**Matter 4: Does the CS provide the most appropriate and sufficiently comprehensive strategy towards the economy with due regard to cross border issues? Is the approach evidenced adequately with due regard to PPS4? Will the approach be effective, particularly with regard to flexibility?**

	<b>CS15 Economy</b>
i.	To what extent is the content of PPS4 in particular satisfied by the Core Strategy? Has consideration been given within the CS to a specific level of job creation over the plan period?
ii.	Has the location and role of employment areas been assessed adequately? Is the evidence in support of the CS approach to employment land retention and release robust (is the CS consistent with the findings of the Employment Land Review)? Does the CS address the issue of warehousing and storage space within the Borough adequately?
iii.	What evidence supports the range of uses envisaged in the identified employment areas?
iv.	Does the policy/CS cater adequately for micro SMEs?
v.	Is CS 15 sufficiently flexible, particularly in relation to Broadoaks in West Byfleet?
vi.	For reasons of effectiveness, should the CS include a specific reference to the role of McLaren within the Borough?
vii.	To what extent has the CS considered the role of tourism within the local economy?
viii.	How are issues relating to advertisements to be addressed within the CS and the LDF? <i>How will Local Economic Partnerships be developed and reflected within the CS?</i>

**3. Matter 5: Does the CS take a robust approach towards infrastructure provision in support of the CS objectives?**

	<b>CS16 Infrastructure</b>
ix.	How will infrastructure requirements be identified and delivered in an effective manner over the plan period?
x.	Is the CS definition of infrastructure in relation to both CS16 and CS19 adequate and robust?
xi.	Is the CS sufficiently flexible in terms of securing obligations in advance of CIL?
xii.	What evidence indicates the adequacy of the sewerage system to cater for existing and planned development within the borough over the plan period? How will deficiencies be identified and resolved in a timely manner?
xiii.	How will the CS seek to balance the demand for water supplies across the Borough over the plan period (as identified in the SA)?
<b>CS17 Open Space and CS 19 Social and Community Infrastructure</b>	
xiv.	To what extent does the evidence base accord with the advice of PPG17 and its Companion Guide? Is the evidence base sufficiently up to date and robust (is any new evidence available/in production)?
xv.	What are the open space needs of the Borough and how will they be satisfied? How will deficiencies be resolved? Does the CS take an adequate approach to issues of open space (including hierarchy/type)? Is the evidence in support adequate?
xvi.	What constitutes enhancement of open space? How will delivery be monitored?
xvii.	How will allotments be protected and increased in number across the borough?
xviii.	How will the CS address effectively the provision and development of Green Infrastructure at a strategic level?

Woking Borough Council Core Strategy Examination **ID/9**  
Hearing Session 3 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2012

xix.	Is the evidence base that informs Policy CS19 adequate and robust? How will issues relating to the adequacy of service provision be addressed within the Borough, for example libraries?
xx.	Are the roles and needs of faith groups recognised adequately within the CS?
xxi.	Are educational needs evidenced adequately and addressed suitably within the CS? Does adequate school pupil capacity exist for the plan period?
xxii.	Are the interests of the arts and cultural facilities addressed adequately?
xxiii.	What evidence exists to demonstrate that issues of health are addressed adequately within the CS?
xxiv.	Does the CS seek to address matters of electronic communication and supporting infrastructure adequately?
xxv.	Are matters relating to Woking Football Club addressed adequately?

**4. Matter 6: Is the advocated approach to sustainable transport the most appropriate strategy within the context of the Borough? Does the evidence support sufficiently the premise that the approach will be effective?**

	<b>CS18 Transport</b>
xxvi.	Is the evidence in support of the CS robust and is it consistent with the advice of PPS4, PPG 13 Transport et al? Does the CS maintain consistency with the Transport chapter of the SEP?
xxvii.	Is there sufficient clarity within the CS as to how its transport objectives will be delivered? (Relationship to Surrey Transport Plan, other LDF documents and the work of other stakeholders, including Transport for Woking? Applicability of the need for Transport Assessments? )
xxviii.	Does the CS give suitable priority to means of transport other than the private car?
xxix.	Does the CS address matters of countryside recreation and the use of footpaths/cycleways adequately?
xxx.	Is the CS approach to car parking warranted by the evidence base and consistent with national planning policy?
xxxi.	Does Woking Railway Station have adequate capacity to deal with the development growth envisaged by the CS?
xxxii.	Should there be a reference to Airtrack within the CS?
xxxiii.	Does the CS safeguard adequately land for future transport infrastructure (eg Transport Interchange)? Are there any implications for the proposals map?
xxxiv.	Are matters relating to necessary transport infrastructure over the plan period addressed adequately within the CS and its supporting evidence?

5. Other Matters

6. Conclusion